

# About the monoidal invariance of cohomological dimension of Hopf algebras

Julien Bichon  
Université Clermont Auvergne

Quantum Groups Seminar  
Online, 18 January 2021

The question I want to discuss is

### Question

Let  $A, B$  be Hopf algebras such that

$$\mathcal{M}^A \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{M}^B$$

Do we have  $\text{cd}(A) = \text{cd}(B)$ ?

Here:

- $\mathcal{M}^A$  is the tensor category of right  $A$ -comodules,
- $\text{cd}(A)$  is the cohomological dimension of  $A$  (see below).

We work over an algebraically closed field  $k$ .

- 1 Cohomological dimension
- 2 Positive answers to our question
- 3 Strategy
- 4 Twisted separable functors
- 5 An example
- 6 Other strategy: Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomological dimension

## Classical examples

- If  $A = \mathcal{O}(G)$ , with  $G$  a compact Lie group, then

$$\text{cd}(\mathcal{O}(G)) = \dim(G)$$

- If  $A = k\Gamma$ , with  $\Gamma$  a discrete group, then  $\text{cd}(k\Gamma) = \text{cd}_k(\Gamma)$ , the cohomological dimension of  $\Gamma$  with coefficients  $k$ .
  - if  $\Gamma$  is finitely generated, then  $\text{cd}(k\Gamma) = 1 \iff \Gamma$  has a free subgroup of finite index (Dunwoody's theorem);
  - if  $\Gamma$  is the fundamental group of an aspherical closed manifold of dimension  $n$ , then  $\text{cd}(k\Gamma) = n$ .
  - Let  $\Gamma = \langle r, s, a \mid rs = sr, tat^{-1}a = atat^{-1}, sas^{-1} = atat^{-1} \rangle$  (Baumslag). Then  $\text{cd}(k\Gamma) = \infty$ .
  - If  $\Gamma$  is a finite group, then  $\text{cd}(k\Gamma) = 0 \iff |G| \neq 0$  in  $k$ , and  $\text{cd}(k\Gamma) = \infty$  otherwise.
- If  $A$  is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, then either  $\text{cd}(A) = 0$  ( $A$  is semisimple) or  $\text{cd}(A) = \infty$ .

# Cohomological dimension

Let  $A$  be an algebra and let  $M$  be a (left)  $A$ -module.

- $M$  is said to be **projective** if the functor  $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$  is exact. This is equivalent to say that  $M$  is a direct summand in a free module.
- A **projective resolution** of  $M$  is an exact sequence of  $A$ -modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \rightarrow 0$$

where the  $P_i$ 's are projective.

- The **projective dimension of  $M$** ,  $\text{pd}_A(M) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , is the smallest possible length (the largest  $n$  with  $P_n \neq 0$ ) for a projective resolution of  $M$ .
- We have  $\text{pd}_A(M) = 0 \iff M$  is projective, so  $\text{pd}_A(M)$  measures how far is a module from being projective.
- The **(left) global dimension of  $A$**  is defined by

$$\text{l.gldim}(A) = \max \{ \text{pd}_A(M), M \in \mathcal{M}_A \} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$$

# Cohomological dimension

More generally as soon as we are in an abelian category having enough projective objects (every object is a quotient of a projective), we can define projective dimensions of objects.

When  $A$  is a Hopf algebra, we have as well

$$\text{l.gldim}(A) = \text{pd}_A(k_\varepsilon) = \text{cd}(A) = \text{r.gldim}(A)$$

where  $k_\varepsilon$  denote the trivial  $A$ -module, and

$\text{cd}(A)$  is the **Hochschild cohomological dimension of  $A$**

with  $\text{cd}(A) = \text{pd}_{\mathcal{M}_A}(A)$ . We simply denote  $\text{cd}(A)$  all these numbers.

# Cohomological dimension: examples

**Example 1.** Let  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $A_o(n)$  be the algebra presented by generators  $(u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  and relations

$$u^t u = I_n = u u^t$$

where  $u$  is the matrix  $(u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . It has a Hopf algebra structure

$$\Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}, \quad \varepsilon(u_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}, \quad S(u) = u^t$$

This is the coordinate algebra on Wang's free orthogonal quantum group  $O_n^+$ . Collins-Härtl-Thom (2008) have shown

$$\text{cd}(A_o(n)) = 3$$

There is a monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{M}^{A_o(n)} \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{O}(SL_q(2))}$  for  $n = -q - q^{-1}$ , and indeed  $\text{cd}(\mathcal{O}(SL_q(2))) = 3$ .

## Cohomological dimension: examples

**Example 1 (continued).** More generally, let  $E \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  presented by generators  $(u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  and relations

$$E^{-1}u^tEu = I_n = uE^{-1}u^tE,$$

where  $u$  is the matrix  $(u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . It has a Hopf algebra structure defined by  $\Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}$ ,  $\varepsilon(u_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $S(u) = E^{-1}u^tE$ .

The Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  (Dubois-Violette and Launer, 1990), represents the quantum symmetry group of the bilinear form associated to the matrix  $E$ . For a well-chosen  $E_q \in \mathrm{GL}_2(k)$  we have  $\mathcal{B}(E_q) = \mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SL}_q(2))$ .

One has

$$\mathrm{cd}(\mathcal{B}(E)) = 3$$

and we have a monoidal equivalence

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{B}(E)} \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{O}(\mathrm{SL}_q(2))}$$

for  $q \in k^*$  satisfying  $\mathrm{tr}(E^{-1}E^t) = -q - q^{-1}$ .



# Cohomological dimension: examples

**Example 2.** Let  $A_s(n)$  be the algebra presented by generators  $(u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  and relations

$$\sum_k u_{ki} = 1 = \sum_k u_{ik}, \quad u_{ik}u_{ij} = \delta_{kj}u_{ij}, \quad u_{ki}u_{ji} = \delta_{jk}u_{ji}$$

It has a natural Hopf algebra structure and represents the quantum permutation group  $S_n^+$  (Wang).

For  $n \geq 4$ , one has

$$\text{cd}(A_s(n)) = 3$$

and a monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{M}^{A_s(n)} \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{O}(PSL_q(2))}$  for  $\sqrt{n} = q + q^{-1}$ .

In these examples, the monoidal equivalence is important to determine the cohomological dimension, but there are furthermore special types of "equivariant" resolutions that play a role.

## Positive answers to our question

### Theorem [Wang-Yu-Zhang, 2017]

Let  $A, B$  be Hopf algebras such that  $\mathcal{M}^A \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{M}^B$ . If  $A$  is twisted Calabi-Yau and  $B$  is smooth, then  $\text{cd}(A) = \text{cd}(B)$ .

Smooth means that the trivial module has a finite resolution by finitely generated projective modules, and twisted Calabi-Yau is a stronger condition (a nice duality between homology and cohomology). In fact they prove that  $B$  is twisted Calabi-Yau as well.

### Theorem [B, 2016-2018]

Let  $A, B$  be Hopf algebras such that  $\mathcal{M}^A \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{M}^B$ . If  $A, B$  are cosemisimple and satisfy  $S^4 = \text{id}$ , then  $\text{cd}(A) = \text{cd}(B)$ .

# Positive answers to our question

The main new result presented in this talk is:

## Theorem

Let  $A, B$  be Hopf algebras such that  $\mathcal{M}^A \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{M}^B$ . Assume that one of the following conditions hold:

- 1  $A$  and  $B$  are smooth;
- 2  $A, B$  are cosemisimple and  $\text{cd}(A), \text{cd}(B)$  are finite.

Then  $\text{cd}(A) = \text{cd}(B)$ .

(1) mainly consists in checking that the arguments of Wang-Yu-Zhang still work to get the desired conclusion.

We will focus on explaining the proof of (2).

## Strategy: equivariant bimodules

Recall that if  $R$  is a right  $A$ -comodule algebra (an algebra in the category  $\mathcal{M}^A$ ), the category of  $R$ -bimodules inside  $A$ -comodules is denoted

$${}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A$$

Objects: the  $A$ -comodules  $V$  with an  $R$ -bimodule structure having the Hopf bimodule compatibility conditions ( $x \in R$ ,  $v \in V$ )

$$(x \cdot v)_{(0)} \otimes (x \cdot v)_{(1)} = x_{(0)} \cdot v_{(0)} \otimes x_{(1)} v_{(1)}, \quad (v \cdot x)_{(0)} \otimes (v \cdot x)_{(1)} = v_{(0)} \cdot x_{(0)} \otimes v_{(1)} x_{(1)}$$

Morphisms: the  $A$ -colinear and  $R$ -bilinear maps.

The category  ${}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A$  is obviously abelian, and the tensor product of bimodules induces a monoidal structure on it.

# Strategy

For a Hopf algebra  $A$ , recall (Schauenburg) that it follows from the structure theorem for Hopf modules that the functor

$${}_A\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow {}_A\mathcal{M}_A^A, \quad V \longmapsto V \otimes A$$

is a monoidal equivalence, where  $V \otimes A$  has the tensor product left  $A$ -module structure and the right module and comodule structures are induced by the multiplication and comultiplication of  $A$  respectively. Now, starting with a monoidal equivalence  $F : \mathcal{M}^A \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{M}^B$ , let  $R = F(A)$ . This is an algebra in  $\mathcal{M}^B$ , and  $F$  induces an equivalence

$${}_A\mathcal{M}_A^A \simeq^{\otimes} {}_R\mathcal{M}_R^B$$

Composing with the previous one, we get an equivalence

$${}_A\mathcal{M} \simeq^{\otimes} {}_R\mathcal{M}_R^B$$

sending  ${}_{\varepsilon}k$  to  $R$ , and hence  $\text{cd}(A) = \text{pd}_{{}_A\mathcal{M}}({}_{\varepsilon}k) = \text{pd}_{{}_R\mathcal{M}_R^B}(R)$ .

# Strategy

► So, starting from  $F : \mathcal{M}^A \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{M}^B$ , we get, for  $R = F(A)$ ,

$$\text{cd}(A) = \text{pd}_{R\mathcal{M}_R^B}(R)$$

Similarly, we have, for  $T = F^{-1}(B)$ ,

$$\text{cd}(B) = \text{pd}_{T\mathcal{M}_T^A}(T)$$

When  $A, B$  have bijective antipode, we have  $R \simeq T^{\text{op}}$ , so  $\text{cd}(R) = \text{cd}(T)$ .  
(here we are with the Hochschild cohomological dimension  $\text{cd}(R) = \text{pd}_{R\mathcal{M}_R}(R)$ )

So the key question is to compare

$$\text{pd}_{R\mathcal{M}_R^B}(R) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{pd}_{R\mathcal{M}_R}(R) = \text{cd}(R)$$

Remark: at this stage we have not used any assumption on  $A$  and  $B$  (apart from bijectivity of the antipodes).

# Twisted separable functors

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be some categories. We say that a functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is **twisted separable** if there exist

- 1 an autoequivalence  $\Theta$  of the category  $\mathcal{D}$ ;
- 2 a generating subclass  $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$  (i.e. for every  $V \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , there exists  $P \in \mathcal{F}$  and an epimorphism  $P \rightarrow V$ ) together with, for any  $P \in \mathcal{F}$ , an isomorphism  $\theta_P : F(P) \rightarrow \Theta F(P)$ ;
- 3 a natural morphism  $\mathbf{M}_{-, -} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(-), \Theta F(-)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, -)$  such that for any  $P \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $M_{P, P}(\theta_P) = \text{id}_P$ .

The naturality condition above means that for any morphisms  $\alpha : V' \rightarrow V$ ,  $\beta : W \rightarrow W'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and any morphism  $f : F(V) \rightarrow \Theta F(W)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , we have

$$\beta \circ \mathbf{M}_{V, W}(f) \circ \alpha = \mathbf{M}_{V', W'}(\Theta F(\beta) \circ f \circ F(\alpha))$$

# Twisted separable functors

When  $\mathcal{F} = \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\Theta = \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $\theta_P = \text{id}_P$  for any  $P$ , we get the notion of **separable functor** by Nastasescu-Van den Bergh-Van Oystaeyen, which provides a convenient setting for various types of generalized Maschke theorems (an exact sequence splits in  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if it splits in  $\mathcal{D}$  after applying  $F$ ).

Basic example of a separable functor: when  $A$  is cosemisimple Hopf algebra, the forgetful functor  $\mathcal{M}^A \rightarrow \text{Vec}_k$ . The separability is obtained by averaging with respect to the Haar integral.



# Twisted separable functors

Motivation to introduce the present notion of twisted separable functor:

## Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be abelian categories having enough projectives and let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor. Assume that the following conditions hold:

- 1 the functor  $F$  is exact and preserves projective objects;
- 2 the functor  $F$  is twisted separable and  $\mathcal{F}$ , the corresponding class of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ , consists of projective objects.

Then for any object  $V$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathrm{pd}_{\mathcal{C}}(V)$  is finite, we have

$$\mathrm{pd}_{\mathcal{C}}(V) = \mathrm{pd}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(V))$$

Thus, if we know that the forgetful functor  $\Omega_R : {}_R\mathcal{M}_R^B \rightarrow {}_R\mathcal{M}_R$  satisfies the above conditions and that  $\mathrm{pd}_{{}_R\mathcal{M}_R^B}(R)$  is finite, we can conclude that  $\mathrm{pd}_{{}_R\mathcal{M}_R^B}(R) = \mathrm{pd}_{{}_R\mathcal{M}_R}(R) = \mathrm{cd}(R)$  (which, in the context of our equivalence  $\mathcal{M}^A \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{M}^B$  will give  $\mathrm{cd}(A) = \mathrm{cd}(R)$ , as needed).

# Twisted separable functors

Let  $A$  be a cosemisimple Hopf algebra with Haar integral  $h$ . Recall that the Haar integral is not a trace in general, but satisfies a KMS type property, discovered by Woronowicz in the setting of compact quantum groups.

## Theorem

*There exists a convolution invertible linear map  $\psi : A \rightarrow k$ , called a modular functional on  $A$ , satisfying the following conditions:*

- $S^2 = \psi * \text{id} * \psi^{-1}$ ;
- $\sigma := \psi * \text{id} * \psi$  is an algebra automorphism of  $A$ ;
- we have  $h(ab) = h(b\sigma(a))$  for any  $a, b \in A$ .

The proof is based on the orthogonality relations.

# Twisted separable functors

Let  $R$  be right  $A$ -comodule over a cosemisimple Hopf algebra  $A$ , and let  $\rho$  be the automorphism of  $R$  defined by  $\rho(x) = \psi^{-2}(x_{(1)})x_{(0)}$

## Key averaging lemma

Let  $V, W \in {}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A$ . If  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is a linear map satisfying

$$f(x \cdot v) = \rho(x) \cdot f(v), \quad f(v \cdot x) = f(v) \cdot x$$

for any  $v \in V$  and  $x \in R$ , then  $\mathbf{M}_{V,W}(f) : V \rightarrow W$  is a morphism in  ${}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A$ .

Here  $\mathbf{M}_{V,W}(f) : V \rightarrow W$  is the averaging of  $f$  defined by

$$v \longmapsto h(f(v_{(0)})_{(1)}S(v_{(1)}))f(v_{(0)})_{(0)}$$

If  $G$  is a compact group,  $\mathbf{M}_{V,W}(f) = \int_G \pi_W(g) \circ f \circ \pi_V(g^{-1}) dg$

# Twisted separable functors

Now consider

- 1 the class  $\mathcal{F}$  of free objects in  ${}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A$ , i.e. those of the form

$$R \otimes V \otimes R, \quad V \in \mathcal{M}^A$$

with the tensor comodule structure, and bimodule structure by left-right multiplication;

- 2 the autoequivalence  $\Theta : {}_R\mathcal{M}_R \rightarrow {}_R\mathcal{M}_R$ ,  $W \mapsto {}_\rho W$  with  ${}_\rho W = W$  as vector space and  $x \cdot' w \cdot' x = \rho(x) \cdot w \cdot x$ , and is trivial on morphisms;
- 3 for a free object  $R \otimes V \otimes R$ , the  $R$ -bimodule isomorphism  $\rho_V = \rho \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \text{id}_R : R \otimes V \otimes R \rightarrow {}_\rho(R \otimes V \otimes R)$ .
- 4 for  $V, W \in {}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A$ , the averaging map

$$\mathbf{M}_{V,W} : \text{Hom}_A(V, {}_\rho W) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{{}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A}(V, W)$$

from the key averaging lemma.

It follows that the functor  $\Omega_R : {}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A \rightarrow {}_R\mathcal{M}_R$  is indeed twisted separable.


## Twisted separable functors: end of proof

The functor  $\Omega_R : {}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A \rightarrow {}_R\mathcal{M}_R$  is twisted separable.

Moreover, the class  $\mathcal{F}$  consists of projectives ( $A$  is cosemisimple), the projectives in  ${}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A$  are direct summands of free objects and hence are preserved by  $\Omega_R$ , which is exact.

Hence we are in the situation of the previous proposition, and as soon as  $\text{pd}_{{}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A}(R)$  is finite, we have

$$\text{pd}_{{}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A}(R) = \text{pd}_{{}_R\mathcal{M}_R}(R) = \text{cd}(R)$$

This proves our theorem, as already explained here 

Remark: If  $S^4 = \text{id}$ ,  $\Omega_R : {}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A \rightarrow {}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A$  is separable, and for any comodule algebra

$$\text{pd}_{{}_R\mathcal{M}_R^A}(R) = \text{pd}_{{}_R\mathcal{M}_R}(R) = \text{cd}(R)$$

## An example

For  $n \geq 2$  and  $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$ , the universal cosovereign Hopf algebra  $H(F)$  is the algebra generated by  $(u_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  and  $(v_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ , with relations:

$$uv^t = v^t u = I_n; \quad vFu^tF^{-1} = Fu^tF^{-1}v = I_n,$$

where  $u = (u_{ij})$ ,  $v = (v_{ij})$  and  $I_n$  is the identity  $n \times n$  matrix. The Hopf algebra structure is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(u_{ij}) &= \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}, & \Delta(v_{ij}) &= \sum_k v_{ik} \otimes v_{kj}, \\ \varepsilon(u_{ij}) &= \varepsilon(v_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}, & S(u) &= v^t, \quad S(v) = Fu^tF^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

When  $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is positive, this is the compact Hopf algebra  $A_u(F)$ .

## An example

A matrix  $F \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$  is said to be

- an **asymmetry** if there exists  $E \in \mathrm{GL}_n(k)$  such that  $F = E^t E^{-1}$ ;
- **normalizable** if  $\mathrm{tr}(F) \neq 0$  and  $\mathrm{tr}(F^{-1}) \neq 0$  or  $\mathrm{tr}(F) = 0 = \mathrm{tr}(F^{-1})$ ;
- **generic** if it is normalizable and the solutions of the equation  $q^2 - \sqrt{\mathrm{tr}(F)\mathrm{tr}(F^{-1})}q + 1 = 0$  are generic, i.e. are not roots of unity of order  $\geq 3$  (does not depend on the choice of the above square root).

The Hopf algebra  $H(F)$  is cosemisimple if and only if  $F$  is generic.

### Theorem

*If  $F$  is an asymmetry or  $F$  is generic, we have  $\mathrm{cd}(H(F)) = 3$ .*

# An example

## Theorem

If  $F$  is an asymmetry or  $F$  is generic, we have  $\text{cd}(H(F)) = 3$ .

Proof: it was already known that if  $F$  is an asymmetry, then  $\text{cd}(H(F)) = 3$ , and that if  $F$  is generic, then  $\text{cd}(H(F)) \leq 3$ . So suppose that  $F$  is generic. Then

$$\mathcal{M}^{H(F)} \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{M}^{H(F_q)}$$

for

$$F_q = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad q^2 - \sqrt{\text{tr}(F)\text{tr}(F^{-1})}q + 1 = 0$$

$F_q$  is an asymmetry, so  $\text{cd}(H(F_q)) = 3$ , and since we know  $\text{cd}(H(F))$  is finite, we can apply our theorem to conclude

$$\text{cd}(H(F)) = \text{cd}(H(F_q)) = 3$$



## Other strategy: Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomological dimension

Other strategy to attack our question: use an auxiliary cohomological dimension, the Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomological dimension, based on Yetter-Drinfeld modules. Let  $A$  be a Hopf algebra.

### Definition

A (right-right) Yetter-Drinfeld module over  $A$  is a right  $A$ -comodule and right  $A$ -module  $V$  satisfying the condition,  $\forall v \in V, \forall a \in A$ ,

$$(v \leftarrow a)_{(0)} \otimes (v \leftarrow a)_{(1)} = v_{(0)} \leftarrow a_{(2)} \otimes S(a_{(1)})v_{(1)}a_{(3)}$$

$\rightsquigarrow$  category  $\mathcal{YD}_A^A$ , with  $\mathcal{YD}_A^A \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}^A) \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}_A)$ .

The **Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomological dimension of  $A$**  is defined by

$$\text{cd}_{\text{GS}}(A) = \max\{n : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{YD}_A^A}^n(k, V) \neq 0 \text{ for some } V \in \mathcal{YD}_A^A\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$$

## Other strategy: Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomological dimension

We always have  $\text{cd}(A) \leq \text{cd}_{\text{GS}}(A)$ , and

### Theorem (B, 2016)

*Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Hopf algebras such that  $\mathcal{M}^A \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{M}^B$ . Then we have  $\max(\text{cd}(A), \text{cd}(B)) \leq \text{cd}_{\text{GS}}(A) = \text{cd}_{\text{GS}}(B)$ .*

It is therefore important to compare  $\text{cd}(A)$  and  $\text{cd}_{\text{GS}}(A)$ .

When  $A$  is cosemisimple,  $\mathcal{YD}_A^A$  has enough projective objects, and we also have

$$\text{cd}_{\text{GS}}(A) = \text{pd}_{\mathcal{YD}_A^A}(k)$$

## Other strategy: Gerstenhaber-Schack cohomological dimension

### Theorem (B, 2016-2018)

*Let  $A$  be a cosemisimple Hopf algebra. If  $S^4 = \text{id}$ , then  $\text{cd}(A) = \text{cd}_{\text{GS}}(A)$ .*

The new result is:

### Theorem

*Let  $A$  be a cosemisimple Hopf algebra. If  $\text{cd}_{\text{GS}}(A)$  is finite, then  $\text{cd}(A) = \text{cd}_{\text{GS}}(A)$ .*

Keypoint: the forgetful functor  $\Omega_A : \mathcal{YD}_A^A \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_A$  is twisted separable.

### Corollary

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be cosemisimple Hopf algebras such that  $\mathcal{M}^A \simeq^{\otimes} \mathcal{M}^B$ . If  $\text{cd}_{\text{GS}}(A)$  is finite, then  $\text{cd}(A) = \text{cd}(B)$ .

Slightly weaker than what we had, but...