



ELSEVIER

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 174 (2002) 163–185

JOURNAL OF
PURE AND
APPLIED ALGEBRA

www.elsevier.com/locate/jpaa

Algebraically flat or projective algebras

Gabriel Picavet

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures, Université de Clermont II, 63177 Aubière Cedex, France

Received 30 November 1999; received in revised form 2 December 2001

Communicated by M.-F. Roy

Abstract

We define and study algebraically flat algebras in order to have a better understanding of algebraically projective algebras of finite type (the projective algebras of literature). A close examination of the differential properties of these algebras leads to our main structure theorem. As a corollary, we get that an algebraically projective algebra of finite type over a field is either a polynomial ring or the affine algebra of a complete intersection. © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 13N05; 13B02; 13B40

0. Introduction

This paper originates with the theory of projective algebras. We were motivated by an unsolved conjecture: a projective algebra of finite type over a field A is a polynomial ring. An example by Costa shows that the statement is false if A is not a field. As Costa noticed, the cancellation problem for polynomial rings over fields is solved if the conjecture is true [9].

Flatness is well known to be useful when studying projectivity. In Section 1, we are aiming to build a convenient theory of flatness for algebras. Roughly speaking, the flatness of an A -module M is characterized by properties of linear relations in M . Replacing linear relations with polynomial relations gives the solution. We have chosen to follow Lazard's treatment of flatness [17]. An A -algebra B is called algebraically flat (a-flat) if every morphism of A -algebras $P \rightarrow B$ where P is of finite presentation can be factored $P \rightarrow L \rightarrow B$ where L is a polynomial algebra in finitely many indeterminates. When A and B are Noetherian, replacing polynomial algebras

E-mail address: gabriel.picavet@math.univ-bpclermont.fr (G. Picavet).

0022-4049/02/\$ - see front matter © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

PII: S0022-4049(02)00048-8

with smooth algebras in the above definition gives the characterization of regular morphisms by Popescu–Spivakovski [31]. Our definition gives most of the usual flatness properties. In particular, an A -algebra B is a-flat if and only if B is a direct limit of polynomial algebras in finitely many indeterminates over A . Symmetric algebras of flat modules are a-flat algebras. D. Popescu defined algebraically pure morphisms (a-pure morphisms) [26]. These morphisms are closely related to a-flat morphisms, since an a-flat morphism is a-pure and faithfully flat. Under some finiteness conditions, a-pure morphisms descend factorization of morphisms. As a consequence, a-purity descends a-flatness and smoothness. Evidently, a-flatness localizes but we do not know whether it globalizes. Here are some concrete examples of a-flat morphisms. If I is a flat ideal of linear type in a ring A , its Rees ring $A[IX]$ is a-flat over A . Then a Rees ring over a Prüfer domain is a-flat.

We define the flat rank $\text{f-rk}(B)$ of an a-flat algebra B . Then $\text{f-rk}(B) \leq r$ if and only if B is a direct limit of polynomial algebras in r indeterminates. If B is of finite type, $\text{f-rk}(B) = \lambda(B)$, the least number of elements required to generate B .

In this paper, projectively trivial rings are a prominent tool because a connected ring A is projectively trivial if and only if each of its finitely generated projective modules is free [22]. We say that a ring A is PPF if finitely generated projective $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ -modules are free for each integer n . A principal domain is PPF by the Quillen–Suslin’s theorem. If \mathbb{P} is a property of rings, \mathbb{P} -morphisms are well known. We have been led to introduce a variant: universal \mathbb{P} -morphisms. We show that a regular PPF integral domain is a UFD and that an a-flat morphism is a universal connected PPF morphism. Hence, if $A \rightarrow B$ is a-flat and A is PPF, so is B . Moreover, an a-flat morphism between noetherian rings is a regular UFD morphism.

Section 2 contains the main results of this paper and is devoted to algebraically projective (a-projective) algebras. They are the projective objects in a category of algebras over a ring. An a-projective algebra is projective. These algebras have been studied by many authors as D. L. Costa, T. Asanuma, J. W. Brewer, A. R. Kustin, J. Yanik.

Our results show that a-projective algebras share many properties with polynomial rings. An a-projective algebra of finite type is of finite presentation and an a-projective algebra is a-flat. The converse is true if B is of finite presentation. In this case, B is the direct limit of polynomial algebras in $\text{f-rk}(B) = \lambda(B)$ indeterminates. This gives a partial answer to the conjecture evoked at the beginning.

The following is a key result. If B is an a-projective algebra of finite type, $A \rightarrow B$ is a projective, smooth, universal regular morphism, its B -module of Kähler differentials $\Omega_A(B)$ is projective and $K \otimes_A B$ is a regular UFD for every ring morphism $A \rightarrow K$ where K is a field. Moreover, if R is a connected PPF ring, so is $R \otimes_A B$ for every ring morphism $A \rightarrow R$ and $\Omega_R(R \otimes_A B)$ is free with finite rank.

An a-projective A -algebra B of finite type is a retract of a polynomial ring $L = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. An idempotent endomorphism u of the A -algebra L is associated to B . The sequence $\{u(X_1) = f_1, \dots, u(X_n) = f_n\}$ is called a representation of B and $J = (X_1 - f_1, \dots, X_n - f_n)$ a representation ideal, for $B = A[f_1, \dots, f_n]$ and $B \simeq L/J$. With this notation, if A is a connected PPF ring, then J/J^2 is a free B -module with finite rank,

$n = \text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B)) + \text{rk}_B(J/J^2)$ and if in addition A is Noetherian, $\dim(B) \leq \dim(A) + \text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B))$.

Our main result is as follows. Let K be a PPF affine regular integral domain and $K \rightarrow B$ an a-projective morphism of finite type which is not a polynomial algebra, then a representation ideal J such that $\text{ht}(J) > \dim(K)$ is a complete intersection and $\dim(B) = \text{rk}_B(\Omega_K(B))$. In particular, if K is a field, a representation ideal is a complete intersection.

We give some notation. All rings considered are unital commutative and ring morphisms are unital. Hence a commutative A -algebra B can be identified with its structural ring morphism $A \rightarrow B$. The set of all units of a ring A is denoted by $U(A)$, the set of all idempotents by $\text{Bool}(A)$ and the nilradical by $\text{Nil}(A)$. If P is a prime ideal of A , the associated residual field is denoted by $k(P)$. The symmetric algebra of an A -module M is denoted by $S_A(M)$. Any unexplained notation is standard.

1. Definition and properties of algebraically flat morphisms

In the following, a polynomial A -algebra L over a ring A is an A -algebra $A[X_i]_{i \in I}$ in a set of indeterminates $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ (if I is empty, $L = A$). We denote by \mathcal{P}_A the class of all polynomial algebras of the form $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ where n is an integer.

Definition 1.1. An A -algebra B (or a ring morphism $A \rightarrow B$) is called algebraically flat (a-flat) if the following condition (\mathcal{AF}) holds:

(\mathcal{AF}) Every morphism of A -algebras $P \rightarrow B$ where P is an A -algebra of finite presentation, can be factored $P \rightarrow L \rightarrow B$ where L is a polynomial A -algebra.

In the above definition, the polynomial A -algebra L can be replaced with $L \in \mathcal{P}_A$ or with an a-flat algebra L . Clearly, a polynomial A -algebra is a-flat.

Our first result gives the structure of a-flat morphisms. Lazard gave a similar result for flat modules [17]. We mimic the proof given in [5]. The proof is detailed because some arguments are different in the category of algebras.

Lemma 1.2. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism and assume that there exists a direct system $\{B_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ of A -algebras B_λ such that $B = \varinjlim B_\lambda$. Let $P \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of A -algebras where P is of finite presentation. There is some index λ such that $P \rightarrow B$ can be factored $P \rightarrow B_\lambda \rightarrow B$.

Proof. Consider a morphism $f: P \rightarrow B$ of A -algebras where the algebra $P = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(p_1, \dots, p_s)$ is defined by the polynomials p_1, \dots, p_s . Denote by x_i the class of X_i in P and set $f(x_i) = b_i$. There are an index λ and some v_1, \dots, v_n in B_λ such that $p_k(v_1, \dots, v_n) = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, s$ and $v_i \mapsto b_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $P \rightarrow B$ can be factored $P \rightarrow B_\lambda \rightarrow B$. \square

Theorem 1.3. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism. Then B is a-flat if and only if there exists a direct system $\{L_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ of A -algebras $L_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_A$ such that $B = \varinjlim L_\lambda$. In this case, the canonical morphisms $L_\lambda \rightarrow L_\mu$ are of finite presentation.

Proof. Assume that $B = \varinjlim L_\lambda$ where $L_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_A$. Then Lemma 1.2 shows that B is a-flat. Conversely, assume that B is a-flat. Then $B = \varinjlim B_\lambda$ where $\{B_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ is a direct system of A -algebras of finite presentation, indexed by a partially ordered directed set A (the partial ordering hypothesis is essential) [11, O.6.3.10]. There is no harm to change A into $A \times \mathbb{N}$ equipped with the lexicographic order provided we set $B_{(\lambda, n)} = B_\lambda$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we can assume that A has no maximum element. Denote the canonical morphisms by $g_\lambda: B_\lambda \rightarrow B$ and $g_{\mu, \lambda}: B_\lambda \rightarrow B_\mu$ for $\lambda \leq \mu$. Consider an element $\sigma \in A$. By a-flatness, there exist a polynomial ring $L_\sigma = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and some morphisms u_σ, w_σ such that $B_\sigma \xrightarrow{u_\sigma} L_\sigma \xrightarrow{w_\sigma} B = B_\sigma \xrightarrow{g_\sigma} B$. Then set $w_\sigma(X_i) = b_i$. There exist some $\tau > \sigma$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B_\tau$ such that $b_i = g_\tau(x_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, because there is no maximum element in A . Next define an A -algebra morphism $w'_\sigma: L_\sigma \rightarrow B_\tau$ by $w'_\sigma(X_i) = x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We get a morphism $g_\tau \circ w'_\sigma: L_\sigma \rightarrow B_\tau \rightarrow B$ such that $w_\sigma = g_\tau \circ w'_\sigma$ since $g_\tau \circ w'_\sigma(X_i) = g_\tau(x_i) = b_i = w_\sigma(X_i)$. Then the relation $g_\tau \circ w'_\sigma \circ u_\sigma = g_\sigma = g_\tau \circ g_{\tau, \sigma}$ follows. Now we can use [11, O.6.3.11]. Since $A \rightarrow B_\sigma$ is of finite type, there is some $v \geq \tau$ such that $g_{v, \tau} \circ w'_\sigma \circ u_\sigma = g_{v, \tau} \circ g_{\tau, \sigma} = g_{v, \sigma}$. Define a map $f: A \rightarrow A$ by letting $f(\sigma) = v$. Set $v_\sigma = g_{v, \tau} \circ w'_\sigma$. Hence we have $v_\sigma \circ u_\sigma = g_{f(\sigma), \sigma}$ with $f(\sigma) > \sigma$ so that $B_\sigma \xrightarrow{u_\sigma} L_\sigma \xrightarrow{v_\sigma} B_{f(\sigma)} = B_\sigma \xrightarrow{g_{f(\sigma), \sigma}} B_{f(\sigma)}$. We are now in position to apply [5, 1.6, Lemma 2], that is to say we can change the partial ordering on A so that $B = \varinjlim L_\lambda$. To complete the proof, observe that a morphism of A -algebras $\alpha: A[Y_1, \dots, Y_m] \rightarrow A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is of finite presentation. Setting $\alpha(Y_j) = p_j(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, it is easy to see that α can be identified to the canonical morphism $A[S_1, \dots, S_m] \rightarrow A[S_1, \dots, S_m; X_1, \dots, X_n]/(S_1 - p_1, \dots, S_m - p_m)$. \square

Corollary 1.4. *The symmetric algebra $S_A(M)$ of an A -flat module M is a-flat.*

Proof. Observe that M is a direct limit of free modules with finite rank [5]. Hence, $S_A(M)$ is a direct limit of polynomial algebras. \square

Now, we characterize a-flat morphisms in the same way as Lazard did for flat modules [5].

Theorem 1.5. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism. Then $A \rightarrow B$ is a-flat if and only if the following condition (\mathcal{AF}') holds:*

(\mathcal{AF}') For every A -algebra P of finite presentation and every surjective morphism of A -algebras $s: C \rightarrow B$, the natural map $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(P, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(P, B)$ is surjective.

Proof. Assume that (\mathcal{AF}') holds and let $L = A[X_i]_{i \in I} \rightarrow B$ be a surjective morphism. Then a morphism of A -algebras $P \rightarrow B$ can be factored $P \rightarrow L \rightarrow B$ and (\mathcal{AF}) is verified. Conversely, assume that (\mathcal{AF}) holds. Let $s: C \rightarrow B$ and $f: P \rightarrow B$ be morphisms of A -algebras where P is of finite presentation and s is surjective. Then f can be factored $P \xrightarrow{g} A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \xrightarrow{h} B$ so that $f = h \circ g$. If $n = 0$, using the structural morphism $k: A \rightarrow C$ and observing that h is the structural morphism of B , we get $s \circ (k \circ g) = f$. If $n \neq 0$, letting $b_i = h(X_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, we pick $c_i \in C$

such that $s(c_i) = b_i$. Hence a morphism of A -algebras $k: A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow C$ is defined by $k(X_i) = c_i$ so that $h = s \circ k$. It follows that $f = s \circ (k \circ g)$. Thus the proof is complete. \square

Definition 1.6. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism and n an integer.

- (1) A size n (polynomial) relation in B is a pair $(p, \beta) \in A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \times B^n$ such that $p(\beta) = 0$.
- (2) A system of (polynomial) relations in B is a set of finitely many size n relations $(p_1, \beta), \dots, (p_m, \beta)$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m A[X_1, \dots, X_n] p_j$ is its associated ideal.
- (3) Let $s: C \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of A -algebras. We say that a system of relations $(p_1, \beta), \dots, (p_m, \beta)$ in B has a pullback in C via s , if there exists $\gamma \in C^n$ such that $s(\gamma) = \beta$ and $(p_1, \gamma), \dots, (p_m, \gamma)$ is a system of relations in C .

Theorem 1.7. Let B be an A -algebra, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) B is a -flat over A .
- (2) For every surjective morphism of A -algebras $s: C \rightarrow B$, each relation (respectively, each system of relations) in B has a pullback in C via s .
- (3) There is a surjective morphism $s: L \rightarrow B$ of A -algebras, where L is a polynomial algebra such that each relation (respectively, each system of relations) in B has a pullback in L via s .
- (4) There is a surjective morphism $s: F \rightarrow B$ of A -algebras, where F is an a -flat A -algebra such that each relation (respectively, each system of relations) in B has a pullback in F via s .
- (5) The following condition (\mathcal{AF}'') holds:
(\mathcal{AF}'') If $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in B^n$ is a zero of $p \in A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, there exist $\beta \in B^m$ and f_1, \dots, f_n in a polynomial algebra $A[Y_1, \dots, Y_m]$ such that $p(f_1, \dots, f_n) = 0$ and $b_i = f_i(\beta)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. To see that (1) \Rightarrow (2), observe that a system of relations in B with associated ideal I defines a morphism of A -algebras $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I \rightarrow B$ and then use Theorem 1.5. Obviously, (2) \Rightarrow (3) and (3) \Rightarrow (4). We show that (4) \Rightarrow (1), assuming only that each of the relations has a pullback in F . Consider a morphism $f: P \rightarrow B$ where $P = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(p_1, \dots, p_m)$. Set $f(x_i) = b_i$ where x_i is the class of X_i in P and $\beta = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$. We get a system of relations $(p_1, \beta), \dots, (p_m, \beta)$. Each relation (p_i, β) has a pullback (p_i, γ_i) in F . We set $\gamma_i = (c_{i,1}, \dots, c_{i,n})$. Let P' be $P \otimes \dots \otimes P$ with n factors and let $P' \rightarrow B$ be the canonical morphism. There is at least a factorization $P \rightarrow P' \rightarrow B$. Set $\mathbf{X}_i = \{X_{i,1}, \dots, X_{i,n}\}$ where the $X_{i,j}$ are indeterminates. Now P' is isomorphic to $A[\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n]/J$ where J is the ideal generated by $\{p_i(\mathbf{X}_j)\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$. Define a morphism $A[\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n] \rightarrow F$ by $X_{i,j} \mapsto c_{i,j}$. We get a morphism $P' \rightarrow F$ such that $P' \rightarrow F \rightarrow B$ commutes. Thus we have a factorization $P \rightarrow F \rightarrow B$. Then use the remark in (1.1). Now, (5) is a translation of (3). \square

Algebraically flat morphisms are closely related to algebraically pure morphisms (a -pure morphisms) considered by Popescu [26].

Definition 1.8. A morphism of A -algebras $f: B \rightarrow C$ is called *a-pure* if for every commutative diagram of A -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{g} & P \\ u \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ B & \xrightarrow{f} & C \end{array}$$

where T is of finite type and P of finite presentation, there exists a morphism of A -algebras $d: P \rightarrow B$ such that $u = d \circ g$.

Obviously, if $B \rightarrow C$ is *a-pure* as a morphism of B -algebras, then $B \rightarrow C$ is *a-pure* as a morphism of A -algebras.

Algebraically pure morphisms can be characterized by polynomial relations. They are stable under arbitrary base changes. An *a-pure* morphism of A -algebras is universally injective.

Definition 1.9. A morphism of A -algebras $f: B \rightarrow C$ defines B as a retract of C if there is some morphism of A -algebras $s: C \rightarrow B$ such that $s \circ f = \text{Id}_B$.

In this case, $C = f(B) \oplus J$ is a direct sum of B -modules where $J = \text{Ker}(s)$. If $u = f \circ s$, then $u: C \rightarrow C$ is an idempotent endomorphism of the A -algebra C such that $\text{Im}(u) = f(B)$ and $\text{Ker}(u) = J$. Conversely, an idempotent endomorphism of A -algebras $u: C \rightarrow C$ gives an A -algebra $\text{Im}(u) = B$ which is a retract of C [9].

An A -algebra B is called *retractable* if A is a retract of B with respect to the structural morphism $A \rightarrow B$.

Theorem 1.10 (Popescu [26]). *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism.*

- (1) $A \rightarrow B$ is *a-pure* if and only if there exists a direct system $\{P_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of retractable A -algebras of finite presentation P_λ such that $B = \varinjlim P_\lambda$.
- (2) If $A \rightarrow B$ is of finite presentation, then $A \rightarrow B$ is *a-pure* if and only if B is *retractable*.

Corollary 1.11. *An a -flat morphism is a -pure and faithfully flat.*

Proposition 1.12. *Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be an a -flat morphism, then*

$$U(B) = f(U(A)) + \text{Nil}(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Bool}(B) = f(\text{Bool}(A)).$$

Proof. Let $b, b' \in B$ be such that $bb' = 1$. Let $g: L \rightarrow B$ be a surjective morphism where L is a polynomial ring. The relation $(XY - 1, (b, b'))$ has a pullback in L via g . Therefore, there is some polynomial $p = u + n$ where $u \in U(A)$ and $n \in \text{Nil}(A[X])$ are

such that $g(p) = b$. For $e \in \text{Bool}(B)$, the relation $(X^2 - X, (e, e))$ has a pullback in L via g . There is an $\varepsilon \in \text{Bool}(A)$ such that $g(\varepsilon) = e$. \square

Remark 1.13. An a-pure morphism need not be flat. It is enough to consider a non-noetherian ring A such that $A \rightarrow A[[X]]$ is not flat. Moreover, a faithfully flat a-pure morphism need not be a-flat. To see this, let K be an algebraically closed field. Then by [26, 1.8], a ring morphism $K \rightarrow B$ is a-pure. Choose $B = K[X]_X$. In view of Proposition 1.12, we have $U(B) = f(U(K)) = K \setminus \{0\}$ if B is a-flat which is absurd.

Now we study the stability of the class of a-flat morphisms with respect to the usual constructions of algebra. Clearly, an isomorphism is a-flat.

Proposition 1.14. *Let (\mathbf{AF}) be the class of a-flat morphisms.*

- (1) *If $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ are in (\mathbf{AF}) , then $g \circ f$ lies in (\mathbf{AF}) . In particular, $A \rightarrow B[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is a-flat when $A \rightarrow B$ is a-flat.*
- (2) *If $A \rightarrow B$ lies in (\mathbf{AF}) , then $A' \rightarrow B \otimes_A A'$ lies in (\mathbf{AF}) for every ring morphism $A \rightarrow A'$.*
- (3) *If $\{B_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a direct system of a-flat A -algebras with direct limit B , then B is an a-flat A -algebra.*
- (4) *Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism and $g: B \rightarrow C$ an a-pure morphism of A -algebras such that $g \circ f$ lies in (\mathbf{AF}) , then $f: A \rightarrow B$ lies in (\mathbf{AF}) . The same conclusion is valid if $B \rightarrow C$ is an a-pure morphism of B -algebras.*
- (5) *If B is a retract of C and C is in (\mathbf{AF}) , so is B .*

Proof. Thanks to Theorem 1.3, (2) is obvious. We show (3). Let P be an A -algebra of finite presentation and $P \rightarrow B$ a morphism. According to Lemma 1.2, there is some index λ such that $P \rightarrow B$ can be factored $P \rightarrow B_\lambda \rightarrow B$. Since $A \rightarrow B_\lambda$ is a-flat, there is some polynomial A -algebra L such that $P \rightarrow B_\lambda = P \rightarrow L \rightarrow B_\lambda$ whence a factorization $P \rightarrow L \rightarrow B$. Therefore, $A \rightarrow B$ is a-flat. Now, if $A \rightarrow B$ is a-flat, so is $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow B[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ (write B as a direct limit of polynomial algebras B_λ). Then $B[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is the direct limit of the polynomial A -algebras $B_\lambda[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ so that $A \rightarrow B[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is a-flat. Next, we show (1). Assume that $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ are a-flat and consider a morphism of A -algebras $h: P \rightarrow C$ where P is of finite presentation. Suppose that $P = A[Y_1, \dots, Y_r]/I$ where $I = (p_1, \dots, p_s)$ in $A[Y_1, \dots, Y_r]$. Set $Q = B[Y_1, \dots, Y_r]/J$ where $J = IB[Y_1, \dots, Y_r]$. Then Q is a B -algebra of finite presentation such that there is a factorization $P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow C$ where $Q \rightarrow C$ is a morphism of B -algebras. Therefore, $Q \rightarrow C$ can be factored $Q \rightarrow K \rightarrow C$ where K is a polynomial B -algebra. According to the beginning of the proof, $A \rightarrow K$ is a-flat. Since $P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow K$ is a morphism of A -algebras, there is a factorization $P \rightarrow L \rightarrow K$ where L is a polynomial A -algebra. In short, we get a factorization $P \rightarrow L \rightarrow C$ and $A \rightarrow C$ is a-flat. Now, we prove (4). Assume that $g \circ f$ is a-flat and that g is an a-pure morphism of A -algebras. Consider a morphism $h: P \rightarrow B$ of A -algebras where P is an A -algebra of finite presentation. Then $P \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ is a morphism of A -algebras. By

a-flatness of C , there are a polynomial A -algebra L and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \longrightarrow & L \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

By the definition of a-purity, we get a factorization $P \rightarrow L \rightarrow B = P \rightarrow B$. Hence, B is a-flat. The last statement of (4) follows from Definition 1.8. The proof of (5) uses Definition 1.1. \square

Lemma 1.15. *Let $A \rightarrow B$, $A \rightarrow C$ and $A \rightarrow A'$ be ring morphisms where A' is a direct limit of A -algebras $\{A_\lambda\}$.*

- (1) *Let $f : B \otimes_A A' \rightarrow C \otimes_A A'$ be a morphism of A' -algebras. If $A \rightarrow B$ is of finite presentation, there is some index μ and a direct system of morphisms of A_λ -algebras $\{f_\lambda : B \otimes_A A_\lambda \rightarrow C \otimes_A A_\lambda\}_{\lambda \geq \mu}$ such that $f = \varinjlim f_\lambda$.*
- (2) *Let $\{f_\lambda : B \otimes_A A_\lambda \rightarrow C \otimes_A A_\lambda\}$ and $\{g_\lambda : B \otimes_A A_\lambda \rightarrow C \otimes_A A_\lambda\}$ be direct systems of morphisms of A_λ -algebras with limits f and g . If $f = g$ and $A \rightarrow B$ is of finite type, there is some index λ such that $f_\lambda = g_\lambda$.*

Proof. Use [11, O.6.3.10]. \square

Theorem 1.16. *Let $A \rightarrow A'$ be an a-pure ring morphism and P an A -algebra of finite presentation.*

- (1) *For every pair of morphisms $u : P \rightarrow C$, $v : B \rightarrow C$ of A -algebras, v factorizes u if and only if $v \otimes_{A'} A'$ factorizes $u \otimes_{A'} A'$.*
- (2) *For every pair of morphisms $v : B \rightarrow P$ and $u : B \rightarrow C$ of A -algebras where $A \rightarrow B$ is of finite type, v factorizes u if and only if $v \otimes_{A'} A'$ factorizes $u \otimes_{A'} A'$.*

It follows that a-pure morphisms descend universally a-flatness and smoothness.

Proof. We show (1). Let $P \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{f} B \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{v \otimes_{A'} A'} C \otimes_A A'$ be a factorization in the category of A' -algebras such that $u \otimes_{A'} A' = (v \otimes_{A'} A') \circ f$. If $A \rightarrow A'$ has a retraction $A' \rightarrow A$, tensor with $\otimes_{A'} A$ to get a factorization $P \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$. Now assume that $A \rightarrow A'$ is an arbitrary a-pure morphism. We reduce the proof to the previous case. We know that $A' = \varinjlim A_\lambda$ where $A \rightarrow A_\lambda$ is retractable (see (1.10)). In view of Lemma 1.15(1) $f = \varinjlim f_\lambda$ (where $\lambda \geq \mu$). Then we have $v \otimes_{A'} A' = \varinjlim v \otimes_A A_\lambda$ and $u \otimes_{A'} A' = \varinjlim u \otimes_A A_\lambda$. Set $k_\lambda = v \otimes_A A_\lambda \circ f_\lambda$. We get $\varinjlim k_\lambda = u \otimes_{A'} A'$. It follows from Lemma 1.15(2) that there is a factorization $P \otimes_A A_\lambda \rightarrow B \otimes_A A_\lambda \rightarrow C \otimes_A A_\lambda$ in the category of A_λ -algebras for some index λ . A similar proof gives (2). We examine the descent properties of an a-pure morphism $A \rightarrow A'$. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism such that $A' \rightarrow B \otimes_A A'$ is a-flat. Use the criterion of Theorem 1.5 and (1) to show that $A \rightarrow B$ is a-flat. Next assume that $A' \rightarrow B \otimes_A A'$ is smooth. Since a-purity implies purity, $A \rightarrow B$ is of finite presentation [25, 5.3]. Then it is enough to show that $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(B, C/I)$ is surjective for each A -algebra C equipped with an ideal I such that

$I^2 = 0$. This is true after tensoring with A' and the result follows from (1) since B is of finite presentation. \square

Proposition 1.17. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow C$ be ring morphisms. The A -algebra $B \otimes_A C$ is a-flat if and only if $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow C$ are a-flat.*

Proof. If $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow C$ are a-flat, so is $A \rightarrow B \otimes_A C$ by Proposition 1.14 (1), (2). Now, the a-flatness of $A \rightarrow B \otimes_A C$ implies its a-purity by Corollary 1.11 so that $A \rightarrow B$ is a-pure by Popescu [26]. Then Theorem 1.16 shows that $A \rightarrow C$ is a-flat and so is $A \rightarrow B$. \square

Proposition 1.18. *Let s_1, \dots, s_n in a ring A be such that $(s_1, \dots, s_n) = A$. Then $A \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n A_{s_i} = A'$ is of finite presentation, faithfully flat and locally retractable. It follows that if $A \rightarrow B$ is a ring morphism such that $A' \rightarrow B \otimes_A A'$ is a-flat, then $A \rightarrow B$ is locally a-flat.*

Proof. It is well known that $A \rightarrow A'$ is of finite presentation and faithfully flat. Now, let P be a prime ideal of A . There is some s_i such that $s_i \notin P$ so that $(A_{s_i})_P \simeq A_P$. It follows that A_P is a retract of A'_P . Now, if $A' \rightarrow B \otimes_A A' = B'$ is a-flat, so is $A'_P \rightarrow B'_P$. \square

Proposition 1.19. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ and $A' \rightarrow B'$ be two a-flat ring morphisms. Then $R = A \times A' \rightarrow B \times B' = S$ is a-flat.*

Proof. There is an isomorphism of R -algebras for each integer n

$$f : R[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \times A'[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

where f is defined by $f(\sum (a_v, a'_v)X^v) = (\sum a_v X^v, \sum a'_v X^v)$. Then use the criterion $(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{F}'')$ of (1.7). \square

Remark 1.20. If $A \rightarrow B$ is an a-flat morphism, then so is $A_S \rightarrow B_S$ for each multiplicative subset S of A (see Proposition 1.14 (2)). In particular, $A_P \rightarrow B_P$ is a-flat for each prime ideal P of A .

- (1) We do not know whether a-flatness globalizes or not, although we suspect that the answer is negative. The following remarks show that a-flatness globalizes in some cases.
- (2) Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism of finite presentation. If $A \rightarrow B$ is locally polynomial (for every prime ideal P of A , there is some integer n such that $B_P \simeq A_P[X_1, \dots, X_n]$), then a result of Bass, Connell and Wright says that $B \simeq S_A(M)$ where M is a finitely generated projective module [3, 4.4]. It follows that such an algebra is a-flat. Actually, $A \rightarrow B$ is algebraically projective (see the next section).
- (3) Let P be the set of all prime integers. Let S be a subset of P and set $B(S) = \mathbb{Z}[p^{-1}X; p \in S]$. Then $B(P)$ is the direct limit of the \mathbb{Z} -algebras $B(S)$ where S varies in the set of all finite subsets of P . It is straightforward to check that $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B(P)$ and $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B(S)$ are locally polynomial (for instance, see [10]). In view of (2), $B(S)$ is a-flat so that $B(P)$ is a-flat by Proposition 1.14 (3).

- (4) Let A be a ring, I an ideal of A and $R_A(I) = A[IX]$ its Rees algebra. The ideal I is of linear type if the canonical surjective map $S_A(I) \rightarrow R_A(I)$ is an isomorphism [13]. If I is of linear type and flat, then $R_A(I)$ is an a-flat algebra. Notice that $R_A(I)$ is a-flat only if I is flat. Indeed, I is a direct summand of $R_A(I)$ and an a-flat algebra is flat.
- (5) In particular, assume that A is an integral domain and I is an invertible ideal whence projective, then I is of linear type [23, IV, 2, Theorem 2']. Moreover, $A \rightarrow R_A(I)$ is a-flat and locally polynomial since I is locally principal. Now, if I is a directed union of invertible ideals I_λ , then $R_A(I) = \varinjlim R_A(I_\lambda)$ shows that $R_A(I)$ is a-flat. If A is a Prüfer domain, each of its nonzero finitely generated ideals is invertible. Thus a Rees algebra over A is a-flat.
- (6) Let A be a noetherian ring and I an ideal of A . Set $\text{gr}_I(A) = \bigoplus_n I^n/I^{n+1}$. Then I is of linear type if and only if $S_{A/I}(I/I^2) \simeq \text{gr}_I(A)$ [13, 3.1]. Therefore, if I is of linear type and I/I^2 is (A/I) -flat, $\text{gr}_I(A)$ is an a-flat (A/I) -algebra. Hence, if the ideal I is completely secant (see [8, 5.2, Theorem 1]), $\text{gr}_I(A)$ is an a-flat (A/I) -algebra (actually, an a-projective algebra since I/I^2 is (A/I) -projective).

Proposition 1.21. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a flat ring morphism. If B is a direct limit of a system of A -algebras $\{B_i\}$ such that each $B_i \simeq A[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_p)$ and each f_j is a linear homogeneous polynomial, then $A \rightarrow B$ is a-flat.*

Proof. Denote by $\rho_i : B_i \rightarrow B$ the canonical morphisms. We can consider that each $B_i = S_A(M_i)$ where M_i is an A -module of finite presentation. By flatness of $A \rightarrow B$ and Lazard's theorem, we get a factorization $M_i \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow B$ where F_i is free with finite rank. Taking symmetric algebras, we get a factorization $B_i \rightarrow L_i \rightarrow B$ where L_i is a-free. An appeal to Lemma 1.2 shows that $A \rightarrow B$ is a-flat. \square

An a-flat A -algebra B is a direct limit of polynomial algebras L_λ with finite transcendence degree over A . We examine the situation when the set of integers $\text{tr.deg}_A(L_\lambda)$ has an upper bound.

Definition 1.22. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a-flat morphism. We say that the flat rank $\text{f-rk}(B)$ of B over A is $r \in \mathbb{N}$ if r is the least integer such that $B = \varinjlim L_\lambda$, $L_\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_A$ and $\text{tr.deg}_A(L_\lambda) \leq r$ for each λ .

Proposition 1.23. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a-flat morphism. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{f-rk}(B) \leq r$.
- (2) B is a direct limit of polynomial A -algebras with transcendence degree r .
- (3) Each morphism of A -algebras $P \rightarrow B$ where P is of finite presentation can be factored $P \rightarrow L \rightarrow B$ where $\text{tr.deg}_A(L) = r$ and $L \in \mathcal{P}_A$.
- (4) Each finitely generated A -subalgebra of B is contained in an A -subalgebra of B generated by r elements.

Proof. Assume that (1) holds and consider $C = A[b_1, \dots, b_n] \subset B$. Denote the canonical morphisms by $\rho_\lambda : L_\lambda \rightarrow B$. There is an index μ such that $C \subset \rho_\mu(L_\mu)$ and $\rho_\mu(L_\mu)$ can be generated by r elements. Hence, (1) implies (4). Assuming that (4) is verified, we show (3). Consider a morphism of A -algebras $P \rightarrow B$ where P is of finite presentation. It can be factored $P \rightarrow L \rightarrow B$ where $L \in \mathcal{P}_A$. Let C be the image of L in B , there is an A -subalgebra $C' = A[b_1, \dots, b_r]$ of B which contains C . Set $L' = A[X_1, \dots, X_r]$, there is a surjective morphism $L' \rightarrow C'$. Since L is a free object, $L \rightarrow C \rightarrow C'$ can be factored $L \rightarrow L' \rightarrow C'$. Therefore, we get a factorization $P \rightarrow L' \rightarrow B$ of $P \rightarrow B$. Now (3) implies (2), it is enough to mimic the proof of Theorem 1.3. Obviously, (2) implies (1). \square

Remark 1.24. Assume that $A \rightarrow B$ is a-flat of finite type. The flat rank of B is the least number $\lambda(B)$ of elements required to generate B over A .

If A is a field, B is an integral domain since a direct limit of integral domains. Then $\text{tr. deg}_A(B)$ is defined and is $\leq \lambda(B)$. Therefore, the flat rank and the transcendence degree of B are equal if and only if $B \in \mathcal{P}_A$.

We intend to give some homological properties of a-flat morphisms. The following definition may be found in McDonald's book [22, p. 328]. For the definition and properties of stably free modules, see for instance Lam's book [16, I.4].

Definition 1.25. A ring A is called projectively trivial if each idempotent matrix over A is diagonalizable under a similarity transform.

According to [22, IV.49], if A is a connected projectively trivial ring, each of its finitely generated projective modules is free. The converse can be easily shown.

Definition 1.26. A ring A is called PPF if for each integer n , every finitely generated projective $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ -module is free.

Hence, if A is connected and PPF, $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is projectively trivial. If A is a principal ideal domain (or a Bézout domain such that prime ideals have finite heights), the Quillen–Suslin's theorem states that A is a PPF ring ([16, 19]).

Definition 1.27. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism and \mathbb{P} a property of rings. We say that $A \rightarrow B$ is a universal \mathbb{P} -morphism if $B \otimes_A A'$ has \mathbb{P} for any base change $A \rightarrow A'$ where A' has \mathbb{P} .

An a-flat morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is a universal \mathbb{P} -morphism for many properties \mathbb{P} like reduced, (integral) domain since B is a direct limit of polynomial algebras over A . However, this definition is not identical to the following usual definition.

Definition 1.28. Let \mathbb{P} be a property of rings. Then $A \rightarrow B$ is called a \mathbb{P} -morphism if $A \rightarrow B$ is flat and for each prime ideal P of A , the ring $B \otimes_A K$ has \mathbb{P} for every finite field extension $k(P) \rightarrow K$.

Therefore, if $A \rightarrow B$ is a flat universal \mathbb{P} -morphism, $A \rightarrow B$ is a \mathbb{P} -morphism. Actually, the flatness condition is verified in many cases by universal \mathbb{P} -morphisms. Recall that a universal reduced morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is flat if A is reduced [18, II, Proposition 2].

Theorem 1.29. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a -flat morphism.*

- (1) $A \rightarrow B$ is a universal connected PPF morphism.
- (2) If A is a connected PPF ring, so is B . Hence, every stably free projective B -module is free so that B is a Hermite ring.

Proof. We can assume that A is a connected PPF ring. First observe that B is connected by Proposition 1.12. According to Proposition 1.14 (1), $A \rightarrow B[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is a -flat. Thus it is enough to show that a finitely generated projective B -module is free. By virtue of [22, IV.G.1], B is projectively trivial because B is a direct limit of projectively trivial rings. Use Definition 1.25 to complete. The statement (2) follows since a non finitely generated stably free module is free. \square

Lemma 1.30. *Let A be a PPF regular integral domain. Then A is a unique factorization domain.*

Proof. Consider a nonzero divisorial ideal I of A . Since I is finitely generated over A and A is regular, its projective dimension is finite. Thus, according to [5, X.8.1, Proposition 2], I has a finite free resolution of finite length by Definition 1.26. It follows from [4, 4.7, Corollary 3] that A is a unique factorization domain. \square

For simplicity's sake, we give [32, 1.1] as a reference for the following Popescu–Spivakovsky's theorem or Spivakovsky's paper for a more recent treatment [31].

Theorem 1.31. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism between Noetherian rings. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $A \rightarrow B$ is a regular morphism.
- (2) B is a direct limit of smooth A -algebras (of finite type).
- (3) Every morphism of A -algebras $P \rightarrow B$ where P is an A -algebra of finite presentation can be factored $P \rightarrow S \rightarrow B$ where S is a smooth A -algebra.

Corollary 1.32. *An a -flat morphism $A \rightarrow B$ between Noetherian rings is a regular morphism.*

Artamonov showed the following result for algebraically projective algebras of finite type over a field [1, Proposition 7]

Theorem 1.33. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a -flat morphism between Noetherian rings. Then $A \rightarrow B$ is a (regular) factorial morphism. If in addition, $A \rightarrow B$ is essentially of finite type, then $K \otimes_A B$ is a regular UFD for every ring morphism $A \rightarrow K$ where K is a field.*

Proof. Let P be a prime ideal of A and $k(P) \rightarrow K$ a finite extension of fields, then $K \otimes_A B = F$ is a regular integral domain since $K \rightarrow F$ is a universal integral domain morphism (see Definition 1.27). Then use Lemma 1.30. Now, if $A \rightarrow B$ is essentially of finite type, $A \rightarrow B$ is a universal Noetherian morphism and the proof is complete. \square

We have just seen that differential properties of a-flat morphisms are involved. If $A \rightarrow B$ is a ring morphism, we denote by $\Omega_A(B)$ the B -module of Kähler differentials of B over A .

Proposition 1.34. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a-flat morphism. Then $\Omega_A(B)$ is a flat B -module.*

Proof. Since B is the direct limit of polynomial A -algebras L_λ in finitely many indeterminates, the conclusion follows from $\Omega_A(B) = \lim_{\rightarrow} (\Omega_A(L_\lambda) \otimes_{L_\lambda} B)$ and $\Omega_A(L_\lambda)$ is a free L_λ -module with finite rank. \square

2. Algebraically projective morphisms

Definition 2.1. An A -algebra B is called algebraically projective (a-projective), if the natural map $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(B, D)$ is surjective for every surjective morphism of A -algebras $C \rightarrow D$.

The symmetric A -algebra $S_A(P)$ of a projective A -module P is a-projective [9].

In the literature, a-projective algebras are called projective algebras or weakly projective algebras. The word projective has many meanings. So we have preferred to introduce another name. In this paper, a projective algebra is an A -algebra B such that the A -module B is projective. Retracts of algebras are defined in Definition 1.9.

Proposition 2.2. *Let B be an A -algebra. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) B is a-projective.
- (2) B is a retract of a polynomial algebra.
- (3) For every A -algebra R and every surjective morphism $C \rightarrow B$, the natural map $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(R, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(R, B)$ is surjective.

Therefore, an a-projective morphism is universally a-projective, projective and faithfully flat.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) is well known (for instance, see [9]). Assume that (1) holds and consider morphisms $R \rightarrow B$ and $C \rightarrow B$ where $C \rightarrow B$ is surjective. Then Id_B can be factored $B \rightarrow C \rightarrow B$ and (3) is proved. Clearly, (3) implies that B is a retract of a polynomial ring and (2) is shown. Assume that $f : B \rightarrow L$ defines B as a retract of a polynomial ring L . Then by (1.9), there is a direct sum $L = f(B) \oplus J$ of B -modules whence a direct sum of A -modules. Since L is free over A , B is projective over A . \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let C be an A -algebra of finite presentation and B a retract of C . Then B is of finite presentation. Hence, an a-projective algebra of finite type is of finite presentation.*

Proof. Let $f : B \rightarrow C$ and $s : C \rightarrow B$ be the morphisms defining B as a retract. We need only to show that $J = \text{Ker}(s)$ is of finite type since finite presentation is stable under composition. Let $\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ be a system of generators of the algebra C . From $C = f(B) \oplus J$ we deduce $c_i = b_i + x_i$ where $b_i \in B$ and $x_i \in J$ so that $\sum Cx_i \subset J$. Now let $x = p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in J$ where $p(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Observe that $p(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ because $J \cap B = \{0\}$. It follows that $J \subset \sum Cx_i$. Now, an a -projective A -algebra B of finite type is a retract of a polynomial ring $L \in \mathcal{P}_A$ over A . \square

The following result gives a partial answer to the question: is a projective algebra of finite type a polynomial algebra?

Theorem 2.4. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism.*

- (1) *If B is a -projective, then B is a -flat.*
- (2) *If $A \rightarrow B$ is of finite presentation and a -flat, B is a -projective. In particular, if A is Noetherian or an integral domain and $A \rightarrow B$ is of finite type and a -flat, then B is a -projective.*

Hence, if $A \rightarrow B$ is of finite presentation, B is a -projective if and only if B is a -flat. In this case, B is a direct limit of polynomial algebras over A with transcendence degree $\text{f-rk}(B) = \lambda(B)$.

Proof. To show (1), use Proposition 2.2 (3) and the a -flatness definition. If $A \rightarrow B$ is of finite presentation and a -flat, Id_B can be factored $B \rightarrow L \rightarrow B$ where L is a polynomial algebra. Hence B is a -projective. Now, if $A \rightarrow B$ is of finite type and flat and A is Noetherian or an integral domain, $A \rightarrow B$ is of finite presentation [12, I.3.4.7]. For the last statement, see Proposition 1.23 and Remark 1.24. \square

Ohm and Rush defined content modules [24]. A projective module is a content module. Moreover, Rush introduced weak content algebras [28]. We will use the following characterization. If B is an A -algebra such that B is a content module, B is weak content if and only if $PB = B$ or PB is a prime ideal for each prime ideal P of A [28, 1.2]. For instance, a polynomial algebra is weak content.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism.*

- (1) *If $A \rightarrow B$ is a weak content injective morphism, every finitely generated flat module over A is projective if and only if every finitely generated flat module over B is projective.*
- (2) *If $A \rightarrow B$ is a -projective, then $A \rightarrow B$ is weak content and injective.*

Proof. The first result is quoted in [28, Note, p. 333] while the lacking proof is a consequence of [27]. Assume that B is a -projective. Then B is a content module over A because B is projective over A (see Proposition 2.2). Since B is a -flat, $A \rightarrow B$ is a universal domain morphism. It follows that PB is a prime ideal for each prime ideal P of A . Therefore, $A \rightarrow B$ is weak content. \square

A ring A is called FGFP if each of its finitely generated flat modules is projective. Jöndrup showed that the FGFP property is stable under flat and finite morphisms [14].

An integral domain or a semilocal ring is FGFP. Moreover, A is FGFP if and only if $A[X]$ is FGFP [14].

Theorem 2.6. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a -projective morphism. If A is a PPF connected FGFP ring (for instance a PID), then every finitely generated flat module over $B[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is free.*

Proof. Use Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 1.29 since a -projective implies a -flat. \square

We look at the differential properties of a -projective morphisms. In order to avoid many references, we use the definitions and results of [8] although they may be found elsewhere.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a -projective morphism which is a retract of a polynomial algebra L . Denote by J the kernel of $L \rightarrow B$.*

- (1) $A \rightarrow B$ is formally smooth.
- (2) There is a left-invertible morphism of B -modules $\Omega_A(B) \rightarrow \Omega_A(L) \otimes_L B$. Hence, $\Omega_A(B)$ is a projective B -module.
- (3) There is an isomorphism of B -modules $J/J^2 \simeq \Omega_B(L) \otimes_L B$.

Proof. Let C be an A -algebra and I an ideal of C such that $I^2 = 0$. The natural map $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{A\text{-alg}}(B, C/I)$ is surjective. Hence, $A \rightarrow B$ is formally smooth [8, X.7.2, Definition 1]. Then (2) can be shown in the same way as in [2, 6.5]. Consider the factorization $B \rightarrow L \rightarrow B$ of Id_B . Since Id_B is formally smooth, there is an exact sequence of B -modules $0 \rightarrow J/J^2 \rightarrow \Omega_B(L) \otimes_L B \rightarrow \Omega_B(B) \rightarrow 0$ [8, X.7.2, Remarques]. Since $\Omega_B(B)$ is zero, (3) follows. \square

In the following, we consider only a -projective morphisms of finite type, hence of finite presentation by Lemma 2.3.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a -projective morphism of finite type.*

- (1) $A \rightarrow B$ is a projective smooth morphism.
- (2) $A \rightarrow B$ is a universal regular morphism.
- (3) $K \otimes_A B$ is a regular unique factorization domain for every ring morphism $A \rightarrow K$ where K is a field.
- (4) $R \otimes_A B$ is a connected PPF ring for every ring morphism $A \rightarrow R$ where R is a connected PPF ring. In this case, $\Omega_R(R \otimes_A B)$ is a free $R \otimes_A B$ -module with finite rank.

Proof. In view of Proposition 2.7, $A \rightarrow B$ is formally smooth and is of finite presentation. Thus, $A \rightarrow B$ is smooth. Now, if A is Noetherian, $A \rightarrow B$ is a universal regular morphism by [8, X.7.10, Theorem 4]. We can reduce to the Noetherian case by virtue of Proposition 2.9 (4). Hence (1) and (2) are proved. Now (3) follows from Theorem 1.33 since an a -projective morphism is a -flat. The first part of (4) is a consequence of Theorem 1.29. Set $S = R \otimes_A B$, then $R \rightarrow S$ is of finite type so that $\Omega_R(S)$ is a finitely

generated S -module. By Proposition 2.7, $\Omega_R(S)$ is a projective S -module and hence is free with finite rank according to the first part of (4). \square

The following result is well known (except (4)) and defines representations of a -projective algebras of finite type [9].

Proposition 2.9. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a -projective morphism of finite type and $L = A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow B$ defining B as a retract of L . Let J be the kernel of $L \rightarrow B$ and $u : A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ the associated idempotent endomorphism of A -algebras. Then $\{f_i = u(X_i) | i = 1, \dots, n\}$ verifies:*

- (1) $f_i(f_1, \dots, f_n) = f_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- (2) $J = \text{Ker}(u) = (X_1 - f_1, \dots, X_n - f_n)$.
- (3) $B \simeq \text{Im}(u) = A[f_1, \dots, f_n] \simeq A[X_1, \dots, X_n]/J$.

Conversely, a sequence of polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ verifying (1) defines an a -projective algebra $A \rightarrow A[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1 - f_1, \dots, X_n - f_n)$.

- (4) *There exist a Noetherian ring R , an a -projective ring morphism of finite type $R \rightarrow S$ and a ring morphism $R \rightarrow A$ such that $B = A \otimes_R S$.*

Proof. To show (4), consider the set G of all the coefficients of f_i . It is enough to take $\mathbb{Z}[G] = R \subset A$ and $S = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1 - f_1, \dots, X_n - f_n)$. \square

A sequence $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ is called a representation of B and the ideal of the representation is $J = (X_1 - f_1, \dots, X_n - f_n)$. A representation $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ is called standard if $f_i(0, \dots, 0) = 0$ for each i .

Thanks to the following results, we can get more interesting representations.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a -projective morphism of finite type, u an associated idempotent endomorphism defining a representation $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ of B . Let φ be an A -automorphism of the algebra $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and set $v = \varphi \circ u \circ \varphi^{-1}$.*

- (1) *v is an idempotent endomorphism of the A -algebra $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ defining a representation $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ of B .*
- (2) $(\varphi(X_1 - f_1), \dots, \varphi(X_n - f_n)) = (X_1 - g_1, \dots, X_n - g_n)$.

Proof. Obviously, φ induces an isomorphism of A -algebras $\text{Im}(u) \rightarrow \text{Im}(v)$ and we have $\varphi(\text{Ker}(u)) = \text{Ker}(v)$. \square

Proposition 2.11. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a -projective morphism of finite type which is not a polynomial algebra.*

- (1) *B has a standard representation $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \subset A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that its ideal contains a polynomial of the form $aX_n^s + p_{s-1}X_n^{s-1} + \dots + p_1X_n$ where $a \in A$ is nonzero, $s \neq 0$ is an integer and $p_i \in A[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$.*
- (2) *Moreover, if A is Noetherian and B has a representation ideal J such that $\text{ht}(J) > \dim(A)$, we can assume that $a = 1$.*

Proof. Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ be a representation of B . We can assume that $f_n \notin A$. Let a_i be the constant term of f_i and define φ by $\varphi(X_i) = X_i + a_i$. We get $g_i = v(X_i) = \varphi(u(X_i - a_i)) = f_i(X_1 + a_1, \dots, X_n + a_n) - a_i$. Arguing as in [9, 3.2], we find that the constant term of g_i is zero. Thus we can assume that the representation is standard. Now, define ψ by $\psi(X_n) = X_n$ and $\psi(X_i) = X_i + X_n^{n_i}$. The constant term of each polynomial $v(X_i)$ is still zero. Following Nagata's proof of the Noether normalization Lemma, we can choose integers n_i such that $\psi(X_n - f_n)$ has the required form. Thus, (1) is shown. Now, (2) is an immediate consequence of a Suslin's result involving the same automorphism [20, 6.1.5]. \square

Proposition 2.12. *Let A be a UFD and Q a prime ideal of $A[T]$ such that $Q \cap A = P$ and $P[T] \neq Q$. There is some irreducible polynomial $f(T) \in A[T] \setminus A$ such that $Q = P[T] + A[T]f(T)$.*

Proof. Set $B = A/P$ and consider the prime ideal Q' of $B[T]$ lying over Q so that $Q' \neq 0$ and $Q' \cap B = 0$. Let $\bar{g}(T)$ be a polynomial of least positive degree in Q' (hence, $g(T) \in Q \setminus P[T]$). Pick an irreducible polynomial $f(T)$ in $Q \setminus P[T]$ dividing $\bar{g}(T)$. The content ideal of $f(T)$ is A and thus the content ideal of $\bar{f}(T)$ is B . Then $\bar{f}(T)$ cannot lie in B and we can write $\bar{g}(T) = \bar{f}(T)\bar{h}(T)$. In this case, the degree of $\bar{h}(T)$ is zero (if not, we get $0 < d^\circ(\bar{f}(T)) < d^\circ(\bar{g}(T))$, contradicting the definition of $\bar{g}(T)$ since $\bar{f}(T) \in Q'$). It follows that $\bar{h}(T) = \bar{a} \in B$. Therefore, $\bar{f}(T)$ is a polynomial of least positive degree in Q' with content ideal B . A result of Sharma shows that $Q' = (\bar{f}(T))$ [30, Corollary 3] and the proof is complete. \square

When M is a finitely generated A -module, we denote by $\mu(M)$ the minimal number of generators of M .

Proposition 2.13. *Let A be a Noetherian UFD and Q a prime ideal of $R = A[T]$ lying over P in A . Assume that Q contains a monic polynomial, Q/Q^2 is R/Q -free and that stably free R/Q -modules are free, then $\mu(Q) = \mu(Q/Q^2)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.12, we have $Q = (P, f(T))$ since Q contains a monic polynomial. A result by Mandal and Roy gives the conclusion [21, 3.6]. \square

Theorem 2.14. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a -projective morphism of finite type with representation ideal J in $L = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.*

- (1) *If A is a connected PPF ring, then J/J^2 and $\Omega_A(B)$ are free B -modules such that $n = \text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B)) + \text{rk}_B(J/J^2)$.*
- (2) *If A is a field, J is a completely secant prime ideal so that $S_A(J/J^2) \simeq \text{gr}_J(L)$. Moreover, J/J^2 and $\Omega_A(B)$ are free B -modules such that $\text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B)) = \dim(B)$ and $\text{ht}(J) = \text{rk}_B(J/J^2) = n - \dim(B)$.*
- (3) *If A is a connected Noetherian PPF ring, $\dim(B) \leq \dim(A) + \text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B))$.*
- (4) *If A is an affine PPF integral domain over a field K , so is the ring B and $\text{rk}_B(J/J^2) \leq \text{ht}(J) \leq \mu(J)$ holds for the prime ideal J .*

An example of affine PPF integral domain A over a field is given by an a -projective algebra of finite type over a field.

Proof. Let A be a connected PPF ring. Since $A \rightarrow B$ is smooth by Theorem 2.8, there is an isomorphism of B -modules $\Omega_A(L) \otimes_L B \simeq J/J^2 \oplus \Omega_A(B)$ induced by the split exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J/J^2 \rightarrow \Omega_A(L) \otimes_L B \rightarrow \Omega_A(B) \rightarrow 0$ [8, X.7.2, Remark 1]. Observe that $\Omega_A(L) \otimes_L B$ is a free B -module with rank n . Therefore, J/J^2 and $\Omega_A(B)$ are finitely generated projective B -modules. These B -modules are free with finite rank by Theorem 2.8 (4) and we get $n = \text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B)) + \text{rk}_B(J/J^2)$. If A is a field, B is an integral domain so that J is a prime ideal. From Theorem 2.8 (2), we deduce that B is a regular ring. Now L is a regular ring as well as B . It follows that J is completely secant by [8, X.5.3, Proposition 2] and $S_A(J/J^2) \simeq \text{gr}_J(L)$ is a consequence of [8, X.5.2, Theorem 1]. To complete the proof of (2), it is enough to show that $\text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B)) = \dim(B)$. If M is a maximal ideal of the affine integral domain B with quotient field K , then $\dim(B_M) = \dim(B) = \text{tr.deg}_A(K)$ (the quotient field of B_M is K) [7, VIII.2.4, Theorem 3]. From $\Omega_A(B_M) \simeq \Omega_A(B)_M$ and [8, X.6.5, Theorem 1], we deduce that $\text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B)) = \text{rk}_{B_M}(\Omega_A(B)_M) = \text{tr.deg}_A(K)$ because $A \rightarrow B_M$ is a regular morphism [8, X.6.4, Proposition 6]. Now assume that A is a connected PPF Noetherian ring. In view of (1), the B -module $\Omega_A(B)$ is free with finite rank. Let P be a prime ideal of A and set $F(P) = B \otimes_A k(P)$. Then $\text{rk}_{F(P)}(\Omega_{k(P)}(F(P))) = \text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B))$ follows from $\Omega_A(B) \otimes_B F(P) \simeq \Omega_{k(P)}(F(P))$. According to (2), we get $\text{rk}_{F(P)}(\Omega_{k(P)}(F(P))) = \dim(F(P))$ since $k(P) \rightarrow F(P)$ is a -projective so that $\dim(F(P)) = \text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B))$. It follows that $\dim(B) \leq \dim(A) + \text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B))$ by [7, VIII.3.4, Corollary 2]. Thus (3) is shown. If A is an affine PPF integral domain, so are L and B because $K \rightarrow L$ is of finite type as well as $K \rightarrow B$. Since L is an affine integral domain, we get from (3) that $\dim(B) - \dim(A) = n - \text{ht}(J) \leq \text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B)) = n - \text{rk}_B(J/J^2)$. Therefore, (4) is proved since $\text{ht}(J) \leq \mu(J)$ holds for an arbitrary Noetherian ring. \square

Theorem 2.15. *Let K be a PPF affine regular integral domain (for instance, an a -projective algebra of finite type over a field) and $K \rightarrow B$ an a -projective morphism of finite type which is not a polynomial algebra. Then each representation ideal J of B such that $\text{ht}(J) > \dim(K)$ is a complete intersection and $\dim(B) = \text{rk}_B(\Omega_K(B))$.*

In particular, if K is a field then each representation ideal of B is a complete intersection ideal.

Proof. Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\} \subset K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be a representation of B and denote by J the associated representation ideal. First assume that $n = 1$. In this case $f_1(X_1) = a \in K$ or $f_1(X_1) = X$ [9, 3.4] which yields $J = (X - a)$ or $J = 0$. Now assume that $n > 1$. We set $A = K[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$, $X_n = T$ so that $B = A[T]/J$ where A is a Noetherian UFD since K is a UFD by (1.30). According to (2.11)(2), we can assume that J contains a monic polynomial of $A[T]$. Hence, $\mu(J) = \mu(J/J^2)$ follows from (2.13). Now, $\text{rk}_B(J/J^2) \leq \text{ht}(J) \leq \mu(J)$ is a consequence of (2.14)(4) and then $\mu(J/J^2) = \text{rk}_B(J/J^2)$ implies $\text{ht}(J) = \mu(J)$. It follows that J is a complete intersection ideal. Moreover, B is an affine integral domain and we have $n = \text{ht}(J) + \text{rk}_B(\Omega_K(B))$ so that $\dim(B) = \text{rk}_B(\Omega_K(B))$. \square

Definition 2.16. We call a ring B a global complete intersection ring if $B \simeq A[X_1, \dots, X_n]/J$ where A is a regular ring and J is a complete intersection ideal (generated by a regular sequence).

It follows that $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is a global complete intersection ring when A is a regular ring. We do not know whether the previous definition is independent of the presentation of the ring B although this is known for local rings.

The adjective global is added because of possible confusions with complete intersection rings (rings which are locally complete intersection).

Corollary 2.17. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a-projective morphism of finite type. Then $A \rightarrow B$ is a global complete intersection morphism.*

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a-projective morphism of finite type. In view of Proposition 2.11 (1), $A \rightarrow B$ has a retract $B \rightarrow A$ with kernel $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$. Tronin used this fact to exhibit some morphisms [33]. Consider the ideal $M = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ of $L = A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. There is a factorization $B = A \oplus I \xrightarrow{\varphi} L = A \oplus M \xrightarrow{\sigma} B = A \oplus I$ of Id_B where $\varphi : B \rightarrow L$ is the canonical injection and σ is defined by $\sigma(X_i) = f_i$. This factorization induces injective morphisms of A -algebras

$$\Phi : B \xrightarrow{\varphi} L \xrightarrow{\simeq} S_A(M/M^2) \xrightarrow{S(\bar{\sigma})} S_A(I/I^2) = B',$$

$$\Psi : B' = S_A(I/I^2) \xrightarrow{S(\bar{\varphi})} S_A(M/M^2) \xrightarrow{\simeq} L \xrightarrow{\sigma} B.$$

Now, observe that $B' \simeq S_A(\Omega_A(B) \otimes_B A)$ since the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I/I^2 \rightarrow \Omega_A(B) \otimes_B A \rightarrow \Omega_A(A) \rightarrow 0,$$

ensures us that $I/I^2 \simeq \Omega_A(B) \otimes_B A$ and $\text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B)) = \text{rk}_A(I/I^2)$.

Using our previous results, we can improve a result by Tronin [33].

Proposition 2.18. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a-projective morphism of finite type.*

(1) *The following sequences are exact*

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_A(B) \otimes_B B' \rightarrow \Omega_A(B') \rightarrow \Omega_B(B') \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_A(B') \otimes_{B'} B \rightarrow \Omega_A(B) \rightarrow \Omega_{B'}(B) \rightarrow 0.$$

(2) *If A is a connected PPF ring and $\text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B)) = r$, then $\text{rk}_A(I/I^2) = r$ and there are two injective morphisms of A -algebras*

$$B \xrightarrow{\alpha} A[X_1, \dots, X_r] = B' \text{ and } B' = A[X_1, \dots, X_r] \xrightarrow{\beta} B,$$

where $r = \dim(B)$ when A is a field.

(3) *If A is a PPF integral domain, α and β induce separable algebraic extensions of the quotient fields.*

Proof. See [33] for a proof of (1). To show (2), observe that $\Omega_A(B)$ is a free B -module of rank r by (2.14) while $I/I^2 \simeq \Omega_A(B) \otimes_B A$ and $B' = S_A(I/I^2) \simeq A[X_1, \dots, X_r]$. Next

notice that $\dim(B) = \text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B))$ when A is a field by Theorem 2.14. We prove (3). Let K and K' be the respective quotient fields of B and B' . Tensoring the first exact sequence with $\otimes_{B'} K'$ gives an exact sequence of K' -vector spaces since $B' \rightarrow K'$ is flat. The first two K' -vector spaces have the same rank r so that $\Omega_K(K') \simeq \Omega_B(B') \otimes_{B'} K' = 0$. The conclusion follows from [6, V.16.6, Corollary 2]. \square

Remark 2.19. Costa proved that when A is a field and $A \rightarrow B$ is a-projective of finite type with representation $\{f_1, f_2\} \subset A[X_1, X_2]$ or such that $\dim(B)=2$, then $B=A[X_1, X_2]$ (see [9, 3.5]). We can recover this result thanks to Proposition 2.18. Let A be a perfect field and $A \rightarrow B$ an a-projective algebra of finite type with $\dim(B) = 2$. The A -algebra B is isomorphic to $A[X_1, X_2]$. Indeed, the hypotheses of Castelnuovo's affine theorem are fulfilled [29, Theorem 3] since in this case $B' = A[X_1, X_2]$, B is regular, $K \otimes_A B$ is a UFD for every morphism $A \rightarrow K$ where K is a field and the quotient fields extension is separable by Proposition 2.18. If A is not perfect, let $A \rightarrow C$ where C is an algebraic closure of A . Then $A \rightarrow C$ is faithfully flat and we can use the descent result of Proposition 2.23.

The previous proposition cannot be used to prove that B is isomorphic to a polynomial algebra when $\dim(B) > 2$ since Castelnuovo's Theorem is no longer true when $d > 2$ [15, p. 297].

We give here some descent results.

Proposition 2.20. *Algebraically pure morphisms descend a-projective algebras of finite presentation.*

Proof. Observe that a pure morphism descends algebras of finite presentation [25, 5.3]. To conclude use Theorems 2.4 and 1.16. \square

A ring morphism $A \rightarrow A'$ is called strongly Nakayama if for every A -module M , the equation $M \otimes_A A' = 0$ implies $M = 0$. A strongly Nakayama morphism $A \rightarrow A'$ descends the surjectivity of A -module morphisms [25].

Lemma 2.21. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring morphism and $A \rightarrow A'$ a strongly Nakayama morphism. If $\{b_\lambda\}$ is a family of elements in B such that $\{b_\lambda \otimes 1\}$ generates the A' -algebra $B \otimes_A A'$, then so does $\{b_\lambda\}$ in B .*

Proof. Consider the morphism of A -algebras $A[X_\lambda] \rightarrow B$ defined by $X_\lambda \mapsto b_\lambda$. Then $A[X_\lambda] \otimes_A A' \rightarrow B \otimes_A A'$ is surjective and so is $A[X_\lambda] \rightarrow B$. \square

Proposition 2.22. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow A'$ be ring morphisms. If $\{b_\lambda\} \subset B$ is a family such that $B \otimes_A A' = A'[b_\lambda \otimes 1]$ is a polynomial A' -algebra with respect to the elements $b_\lambda \otimes 1$, then $B = A[b_\lambda]$ is a polynomial A -algebra with respect to the elements b_λ in the following cases:*

- (1) $A \rightarrow A'$ is faithfully flat.
- (2) The kernel of the morphism $A[X_\lambda] \rightarrow B$ defined by $X_\lambda \mapsto b_\lambda$ is a pure A -submodule of $A[X_\lambda]$ and $A \rightarrow A'$ is strongly Nakayama.

Proof. In both cases, $A[X_\lambda] \otimes A' \rightarrow B \otimes A'$ is bijective so that $A[X_\lambda] \rightarrow B$ is surjective with kernel I . Then tensor the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A[X_\lambda] \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ by $\otimes_A A'$. The new sequence is exact and then $I \otimes_A A' = 0$ implies $I = 0$. \square

Proposition 2.23. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ and $A \rightarrow A'$ be ring morphisms such that the A' -algebra $B \otimes_A A'$ is isomorphic to $A'[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. The A -algebra B is isomorphic to $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ in the following two cases:*

- (1) $A \rightarrow A'$ is faithfully flat.
- (2) $A \rightarrow B$ is projective of finite type and $A \rightarrow A'$ is strongly Nakayama.

Proof. Denote by f the isomorphism $B \otimes_A A' \rightarrow A'[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and set $f^{-1}(X_i) = \sum b_j \otimes a'_j$. Then $\{b_j \otimes 1\}$ generates the A' -algebra $B \otimes_A A'$ and $\{b_j\}$ generates the A -algebra B by Lemma 2.21. Let $u : A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow B$ be the surjective morphism defined by $X_j \mapsto b_j$ with kernel I . The composite morphism $f \circ (u \otimes \text{Id}_{A'})$ is a surjective endomorphism of the A' -algebra of finite type $A'[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, whence an isomorphism. Thus, $u \otimes \text{Id}_{A'}$ is an isomorphism and so is u thanks to (2.22) if $A \rightarrow A'$ is faithfully flat. If $A \rightarrow B$ is projective, $A[X_1, \dots, X_n] = I \oplus B$ implies that I is a pure A -submodule of $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and the proof can be completed as above. \square

Next we give some informations on differential properties of a -projective algebras. For each positive integer m , we denote by $M_m(R)$ the ring of all size m squared matrices with entries in the ring R and by $\text{LG}_m(R)$ the set of all units in $M_m(R)$. A ring morphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ induces a ring morphism $\varphi_m : M_m(R) \rightarrow M_m(S)$ with kernel $M_m(\text{Ker}(\varphi))$. Let A be a ring and $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ defining an A -endomorphism $u : A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ by $u(X_i) = f_i$. We consider the jacobian matrix $J_u = (\partial f_j / \partial X_i) \in M_n(A[X_1, \dots, X_n])$ where i is the index of the row and j the index of the column. Now let u, v be two A -endomorphisms of $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. The rule of chained derivations gives here $J_{v \circ u} = J_v v(J_u)$.

Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a -projective morphism of finite type with representation $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\} \subset A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $u : A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ the associated idempotent endomorphism defined by $u(X_i) = f_i$. We get $J_u = J_{u^2} = J_u u(J_u)$ so that $u(J_u)$ is an idempotent matrix of $M_n(A[X_1, \dots, X_n])$ and its determinant lies in $\text{Bool}(A)$. The ideal of $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ generated by the entries of $u(J_u)$ is idempotent whence generated by an element of $\text{Bool}(A)$.

Now assume that A is a connected PPF ring. Then $u(J_u)$ is diagonalizable under a similarity transform. Thus there is some $M \in \text{LG}_n(A[X_1, \dots, X_n])$ such that $M u(J_u) M^{-1} = \text{Diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ where the last matrix is diagonal with r nonzero entries. The kernel of the canonical surjective morphism $p : A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow B$ is $(X_1 - f_1, \dots, X_n - f_n)$ and $p(M) p(u(J_u)) p(M)^{-1} = \text{Diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. As usual, set $p(\partial f_j / \partial X_i) = \partial f_j / \partial x_i$ where x_i denotes the class of X_i in B . Therefore, the relation $\text{Diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0) = p(M) (\partial f_j / \partial x_i) p(M)^{-1}$ where $p(M) \in \text{LG}_n(B)$ follows from $p(X_i) = p(f_i)$.

Proposition 2.24. *Let B be an a -projective algebra of finite type over a connected PPF ring A and u an associated idempotent endomorphism defining a representation $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$. Then $u(J_u)$ is similar to the matrix $\text{Diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with*

$\text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B))$ nonzero entries. If the representation is standard, $\text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B)) = \text{rk}_A((f_1, \dots, f_n)/(f_1, \dots, f_n)^2)$.

Proof. Let α be the B -module endomorphism of B^n with matrix $(\partial(x_j - f_j)/\partial x_i) = I_n - (\partial f_j/\partial x_i)$ in the canonical basis of B^n . Since α is idempotent, we get $B^n = \text{Im}(\alpha) \oplus \text{Ker}(\alpha)$. Then observe that $\Omega_A(B) \simeq B^n/\text{Im}(\alpha) \simeq \text{Ker}(\alpha)$. The result follows immediately, the last statement being a consequence of (2.18)(2). \square

We come back to Lemma 2.10, where an A -automorphism φ of $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is considered as well as $v = \varphi \circ u \circ \psi$ where $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$. Then $\varphi \circ u = v \circ \varphi$ gives $J_\varphi \varphi(J_u) = J_v v(J_\varphi)$ while $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{Id} = \psi \circ \varphi$ gives $J_\varphi \varphi(J_\psi) = I_n$ and $J_\psi \psi(J_\varphi) = I_n$ so that $\varphi(J_\psi) J_\varphi = I_n$. It follows that $J_v = J_\varphi \varphi(J_u) v(J_\varphi)^{-1} = J_\varphi \varphi(J_u) v(\varphi(J_\psi)) = J_\varphi \varphi(J_u) \varphi(u(J_\psi))$.

Now consider a matrix $M = (\alpha_{ij}) \in M_n(A)$ and the associated A -endomorphism φ defined by $\varphi(X_j) = \sum_i \alpha_{ij} X_i$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ that is to say φ is defined by the matrix equation $(\varphi(X_1) \dots \varphi(X_n)) = (X_1 \dots X_n) M$. Obviously, we have $M = J_\varphi$. Now assume that $M \in \text{LG}_n(A)$. With the previous notation, we get that $v(J_\varphi) = J_\varphi$ so that $J_v = J_\varphi \varphi(J_u) J_\varphi^{-1}$ and $v(J_v) = J_\varphi \varphi(u(J_u)) J_\varphi^{-1}$.

Proposition 2.25. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be an a -projective morphism of finite type with a standard representation $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ associated to the idempotent endomorphism u . Let h_i be the degree one homogeneous component of f_i so that there is a matrix equation $(h_1 \dots h_n) = (X_1 \dots X_n) J_u(0, \dots, 0)$.

- (1) $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ defines a representation of an a -projective algebra B_1 . Its associated idempotent endomorphism h is defined by $J_h = J_u(0, \dots, 0)$.
- (2) If in addition A is a connected PPF ring, the A -algebra B_1 is isomorphic to $A[X_1, \dots, X_r]$ where $r = \text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B))$

Proof. (1) is obvious since $h_i(h_1, \dots, h_n) = h_i$. Assume that A is a connected PPF ring. Denote by $s : A[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow A$ the substitution morphism defined by $s(X_i) = 0$ and observe that $J_h = s(J_u) = s(u(J_u))$. There is an equation $Mu(J_u)M^{-1} = \text{Diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ where $M \in \text{LG}_n(A[X_1, \dots, X_n])$. Thus we get $s(M)J_h s(M)^{-1} = \text{Diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ where the number of nonzero entries is $r = \text{rk}_B(\Omega_A(B))$ and $s(M) \in \text{LG}_n(A)$. Now $s(M)$ defines an A -automorphism φ of $A[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Then $k = \varphi \circ h \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is an A -endomorphism associated to the matrix $\text{Diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ so that $k(X_1) = X_1, \dots, k(X_r) = X_r$ and $k(X_i) = 0$ for $i > r$. Hence B_1 is isomorphic to $A[X_1, \dots, X_r]$. \square

Remark 2.26. If A is a PPF affine regular integral domain, $\dim(B) = \dim(B_1)$.

Remark 2.27. Assume that A is a connected PPF ring. Consider the A -automorphism φ defined in Proposition 2.25, $v = \varphi \circ u \circ \varphi^{-1}$ and set $v(X_i) = g_i$. From $f_i = h_i + t_i$ where $t_i \in (X_1, \dots, X_n)^2$, we get that $X_1 - g_1, \dots, X_r - g_r \in (X_1, \dots, X_n)^2$ and $g_{r+1}, \dots, g_n \in (X_1, \dots, X_n)^2$. It follows that $g_{r+1}, \dots, g_n \in (g_1, \dots, g_n)^2$. Hence the classes of g_1, \dots, g_r in $(g_1, \dots, g_n)/(g_1, \dots, g_n)^2$ give a basis of this A -module (see Proposition 2.18 (2)).

References

- [1] V.A. Artamonov, Orbits of the group $GL(r, k[X_1, \dots, X_n])$, Math. USSR Izv. 8 (1974) 490–500.
- [2] T. Asanuma, On quasi-polynomial algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 26 (1982) 115–139.
- [3] H. Bass, E.H. Connell, D.L. Wright, Locally polynomial algebras are symmetric algebras, Invent. Math. 38 (1977) 279–299.
- [4] N. Bourbaki, Algèbre Commutative, Hermann, Paris, 1965. (Chapter VII).
- [5] N. Bourbaki, Algèbre, Masson, Paris, 1980. (Chapter X).
- [6] N. Bourbaki, Algèbre, Masson, Paris, 1981. (Chapter IV–VII).
- [7] N. Bourbaki, Algèbre Commutative, Masson, Paris, 1983. (Chapter VIII–IX).
- [8] N. Bourbaki, Algèbre Commutative, Masson, Paris, 1998. (Chapter X).
- [9] D.L. Costa, Retracts of polynomial rings, J. Algebra 44 (1977) 492–502.
- [10] P. Eakin, J. Silver, Rings which are almost polynomial rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 174 (1972) 425–449.
- [11] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique I*, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [12] L. Gruson, M. Raynaud, Critères de platitude et de projectivité, Invent. Math. 13 (1971) 1–89.
- [13] J. Herzog, A. Simis, W.V. Vasconcelos, Commutative Algebra, Proceedings of the Trento Conference, Dekker, New York, 1983, pp. 79–169.
- [14] S. Jøndrup, On finitely generated flat modules, Math. Scand. 26 (1970) 233–240.
- [15] H. Kraft, Challenging problems on affine n -space, Séminaire Bourbaki 802, N. Bourbaki, Paris, 1994–1995, pp. 802-1–802-23.
- [16] T.Y. Lam, Serre’s conjecture, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 635, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [17] D. Lazard, Autour de la platitude, Bull. Soc. Math. France 97 (1969) 81–128.
- [18] M. Lazard, Fermeture intégrale et changement de base, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A 289 (1979) 51–53.
- [19] Y. Lequain, A. Simis, Projective modules over $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, R a Prüfer domain, J. Pure Appl. Math. 18 (1980) 165–171.
- [20] S. Mandal, Projective modules and complete intersections, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1672, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [21] S. Mandal, A. Roy, Generating ideals in polynomial rings, Math. Z. 195 (1987) 315–320.
- [22] B.R. McDonald, Linear Algebra Over Commutative Rings, Dekker, New York, 1984.
- [23] A. Micali, Sur les algèbres universelles, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 14 (1964) 33–88.
- [24] J. Ohm, D.E. Rush, Content modules and algebras, Math. Scand. 31 (1972) 49–68.
- [25] J.P. Olivier, Descente de quelques propriétés élémentaires par morphismes purs, An. Acad. Brasil. Ciênc. 45 (1973) 17–33.
- [26] D. Popescu, Algebraically pure morphisms, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 24 (1979) 947–977.
- [27] D.E. Rush, Remarks on flat modules, Michigan Math. J. 23 (1976) 193–201.
- [28] D.E. Rush, Content algebras, Canad. Math. Bull. 21 (1978) 329–334.
- [29] P. Russell, On affine-ruled rational surfaces, Math. Ann. 255 (1981) 287–302.
- [30] P.K. Sharma, A note on ideals in polynomial rings, Arch. Math. 37 (1981) 325–329.
- [31] M. Spivakovsky, A new proof of D. Popescu’s theorem on smoothing of ring homomorphisms, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1999) 381–444.
- [32] B. Teissier, Résultats récents sur l’approximation des morphismes en algèbre commutative, Séminaire Bourbaki 784, N. Bourbaki, Paris, 1993–1994, pp. 784-1–784-15.
- [33] S.N. Tronin, Commutative associative projective algebras of rank two over a perfect field, J. Math. Notes 6 (1987) 437–440.